The following comments relate to the Model Constitution, which, in respect of the matters commented-upon below, appears to be unchanged from the 2016 regulation.

1 There is no restriction on the age of a prospective member. However, only members aged 18 or above may vote in a General Meeting. This seems inappropriate. It is easy to imagine associations with significant numbers of members under the age of 18 . Many would expect to be able to vote as a right of membership.

2 In respect of the inconsistency in 1 above, it has never been clear to me as to the extent to which an association may deviate from the strict wording of the model constitution in forming its by-laws. In some matters, such as the setting of membership fees, an association, clearly, may deviate. But, referring to 1 above, as an example, it is not clear to me as to whether or not an association may, in its bylaws, choose to open voting to members below the age of 18. There are other instances where this uncertainty exists. The draft Regulation "prescribes a model constitution for associations ...". In the context of the above, just what does "prescribe" mean?

3 In describing the composition of the committee, the first statement says that "The committee must have 7 members" but, later having named four office-bearer positions, it is stated that there may be at least 3 ordinary members. Why, then does the opening statement allow for at least 7 members. If the provision for at least 3 ordinary members exists only to allow for achievement of 7 committee members in the situation in which one person occupies more than one office-bearer position, then this should be made quite clear. Once again, can an association choose to have more committee members? Or, for that matter, fewer members?

